

# Local Search for String Problems: Brute Force is Essentially Optimal

Jiong Guo, Danny Hermelin, Christian Komusiewicz

Universität des Saarlandes, Ben-Gurion University,  
TU Berlin & Université de Nantes

CPM 2013

A local search problem  $\Pi$  consists of

- a set  $\mathcal{I}$  of **instances**,
- for each instance  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  a set  $F(I)$  of **feasible solutions**,
- an **objective function**  $f : F(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , and
- for each solution  $s \in F(I)$  a **neighborhood**  $N(s, I) \subseteq F(I)$ .

**Goal:** Find **locally optimal** solution  $s \in F(I)$  such that for all  $s' \in N(s, I)$ ,  $f(s) \leq f(s')$ .

Generic local search algorithm:

- 1  $s :=$  "some element of  $F(I)$ "
- 2 **while**  $s$  is not locally optimal :
- 3     find  $s' \in N(s)$  with  $f(s) < f(s')$
- 4      $s := s'$
- 5 **return**  $s$

**Examples:**  $k$ -Means, Simplex

Advantages of local search:

- fast in practice
- local optima often close to global optimum
- generic algorithm scheme  $\rightsquigarrow$  easy to implement

Tuning local search algorithms:

- faster convergence to local optimum
- changing type/size of neighborhood  $N$

Theoretical analysis:

- number of iterations  $\rightsquigarrow$   
PLS-completeness [Johnson, Papadimitriou & Yannakakis, JCSS 1988],  
Smoothed Analysis [Spielman & Teng, JACM 2004]
- efficiently searching the neighborhood  $N$   $\rightsquigarrow$

## **Parameterized Local Search**

Example:

### LS-TSP

**Input:** A set  $V$  of  $n$  cities, a distance matrix  $d : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , a tour  $T$ , and an integer  $k$ .

**Question:** Can we obtain a **shorter** tour  $T'$  by replacing  $\leq k$  arcs of  $T$ ?

**Obvious:** Solvable in  $n^{O(k)}$  time

**Aim:** Improve running time to  $f(k) \cdot \text{poly}(n)$

**But:** LS-TSP is W[1]-hard parameterized by  $k$  [Marx, ORL 2008]

$\rightsquigarrow$  probably no  $f(k) \cdot \text{poly}(n)$ -time algorithm

Can we avoid brute-force  $n^{O(k)}$  algorithms for searching the neighborhood?

**General:** Parameterized local search problems usually  $W[1]$ -hard with respect to the  $k$ -change neighborhood

[Fellows et al., JCSS, 2012]

Positive results for...

... restricted inputs:

- **LS-Feedback Arc Set** in tournaments [Fomin et al., AAAI 2010]
- **LS-TSP** on planar graphs [Guo et al., Algorithmica, to appear],

... other neighborhood types:

- **LS-TSP** with  $\leq k$  swaps in an  $m$ -bounded range  
[Guo et al., Algorithmica, to appear]
- **LS-TSP** with maximum shift  $k$  [Balas, AOR 1999]

Can we avoid brute-force  $n^{O(k)}$  algorithms for searching the neighborhood?

**General:** Parameterized local search problems usually  $W[1]$ -hard with respect to the  $k$ -change neighborhood

[Fellows et al., JCSS, 2012]

Positive results for...

... restricted inputs:

- **LS-Feedback Arc Set** in tournaments [Fomin et al., AAAI 2010]
- **LS-TSP** on planar graphs [Guo et al., Algorithmica, to appear],

... other neighborhood types:

- **LS-TSP** with  $\leq k$  swaps in an  $m$ -bounded range  
[Guo et al., Algorithmica, to appear]
- **LS-TSP** with maximum shift  $k$  [Balas, AOR 1999]

How easy are **string** problems with respect to parameterized local search?

Can we avoid brute-force  $n^{O(k)}$  algorithms for searching the neighborhood?

**General:** Parameterized local search problems usually  $W[1]$ -hard with respect to the  $k$ -change neighborhood

[Fellows et al., JCSS, 2012]

Positive results for...

... restricted inputs:

- **LS-Feedback Arc Set** in tournaments [Fomin et al., AAAI 2010]
- **LS-TSP** on planar graphs [Guo et al., Algorithmica, to appear],

... other neighborhood types:

- **LS-TSP** with  $\leq k$  swaps in an  $m$ -bounded range  
[Guo et al., Algorithmica, to appear]
- **LS-TSP** with maximum shift  $k$  [Balas, AOR 1999]

How easy are **string** problems with respect to parameterized local search for the **Hamming distance neighborhood**?

## Closest String

**Input:** Strings  $S_1, \dots, S_m$  of length  $n$  over alphabet  $\Sigma$ , an integer  $d$ .

**Question:** Is there a string  $S$  of length  $n$  with Hamming distance  $\leq d$  to each  $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ ?

### Known:

- NP-hard [Frances & Litmann, TOCS 1999]
- $O(d^{d+1} \cdot m + nm)$ -time algorithm  
[Gramm, Niedermeier & Rossmanith, Algorithmica 2003]
- $f(m) \cdot \text{poly}(n)$ -time algorithm  
[Gramm, Niedermeier & Rossmanith, Algorithmica 2003]



## LS-Closest String

**Input:** Strings  $S_1, \dots, S_m$  of length  $n$  over alphabet  $\Sigma$ , a string  $S$  with Hamming distance  $\leq d$  to each  $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ , and  $k \geq 0$ .

**Question:** Is there a string  $S'$  of length  $n$  with Hamming distance

- $\leq k$  to  $S$ , and
- $< d$  to each  $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ ?

$S_1 := \text{BAAAA}$

$S_2 := \text{CCACB}$

$S_3 := \text{ABBAC}$

## LS-Closest String

**Input:** Strings  $S_1, \dots, S_m$  of length  $n$  over alphabet  $\Sigma$ , a string  $S$  with Hamming distance  $\leq d$  to each  $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ , and  $k \geq 0$ .

**Question:** Is there a string  $S'$  of length  $n$  with Hamming distance

- $\leq k$  to  $S$ , and
- $< d$  to each  $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ ?

$S_1 :=$ BAAAA	$d_H(S, S_1) = 4$
$S_2 :=$ CCACB	$d_H(S, S_2) = 4$
$S_3 :=$ ABBAC	$d_H(S, S_3) = 3$
<hr/>	
$S :=$ BBBBB	$k = 2$

## LS-Closest String

**Input:** Strings  $S_1, \dots, S_m$  of length  $n$  over alphabet  $\Sigma$ , a string  $S$  with Hamming distance  $\leq d$  to each  $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ , and  $k \geq 0$ .

**Question:** Is there a string  $S'$  of length  $n$  with Hamming distance

- $\leq k$  to  $S$ , and
- $< d$  to each  $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ ?

$S_1 :=$ BAAAA	$d_H(S, S_1) = 4$	$d_H(S', S_1) = 2$
$S_2 :=$ CCACB	$d_H(S, S_2) = 4$	$d_H(S', S_2) = 3$
$S_3 :=$ ABBAC	$d_H(S, S_3) = 3$	$d_H(S', S_3) = 3$
<hr/>		
$S :=$ BBBBB	$k = 2$	$d_H(S', S) = 2$
$S' :=$ BBAAB		

## LS-Closest String

**Input:** Strings  $S_1, \dots, S_m$  of length  $n$  over alphabet  $\Sigma$ , a string  $S$  with Hamming distance  $\leq d$  to each  $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ , and  $k \geq 0$ .

**Question:** Is there a string  $S'$  of length  $n$  with Hamming distance

- $\leq k$  to  $S$ , and
- $< d$  to each  $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ ?

$S_1 :=$ BAAAA	$d_H(S, S_1) = 4$	$d_H(S', S_1) = 2$
$S_2 :=$ CCACB	$d_H(S, S_2) = 4$	$d_H(S', S_2) = 3$
$S_3 :=$ ABBAC	$d_H(S, S_3) = 3$	$d_H(S', S_3) = 3$
<hr/>		
$S :=$ BBBBB	$k = 2$	$d_H(S', S) = 2$
$S' :=$ BBAAB		

**Proposition:** **LS-Closest String** can be solved in  $d^k \cdot \text{poly}(n, m)$  time.

**Theorem:** **LS-Closest String** is NP-hard and  $W[2]$ -hard for the radius  $k$  of the Hamming neighborhood.

Proof by **parameterized reduction** from

### **Multicolored Hitting Set**

**Input:** A hypergraph  $G = (V, \mathcal{E})$  and vertex-coloring  $c : V \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ .

**Question:** Is there a size- $k$  set  $V' \subseteq V$  such that

- $V' \cap E \neq \emptyset$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ , and
- $V'$  is colorful?

**Known:** **Multicolored Hitting Set** parameterized by  $k$  is  $W[2]$ -hard

## Parameterized Reduction:

$$(I, k) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} (I', k')$$

$(I, k)$  is a yes-instance  $\Leftrightarrow$   $(I', k')$  is a yes-instance

- $\mathcal{A}$  runs in  $f(k) \cdot \text{poly}(|I|)$  time
- $k' \leq g(k)$

A parameterized reduction from a  $W[t]$ -hard problem  $L$  to a problem  $L'$  shows  $W[t]$ -hardness of  $L'$

$$V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$$

$$E_1 = \{1, 2, 3\} \quad E_2 = \{4, 6\} \quad E_3 = \{1, 6\} \quad E_4 = \{3, 5\}$$

	1	2	3	4	5	6
$S_{E_1}$	R	B	R	X	X	X
$S_{E_2}$	X	X	X	O	X	B
$S_{E_3}$	R	X	X	X	X	B
$S_{E_4}$	X	X	R	X	O	X

$$V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$$

$$E_1 = \{1, 2, 3\} \quad E_2 = \{4, 6\} \quad E_3 = \{1, 6\} \quad E_4 = \{3, 5\}$$

	1	2	3	4	5	6
$S_{E_1}$	R	B	R	X	X	X
$S_{E_2}$	X	X	X	O	X	B
$S_{E_3}$	R	X	X	X	X	B
$S_{E_4}$	X	X	R	X	O	X
$S_R$	R	R	R	R	R	R
$S_B$	B	B	B	B	B	B
$S_O$	O	O	O	O	O	O



$$V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$$

$$E_1 = \{1, 2, 3\} \quad E_2 = \{4, 6\} \quad E_3 = \{1, 6\} \quad E_4 = \{3, 5\}$$

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
$S_{E_1}$	R	B	R	X	X	X	V
$S_{E_2}$	X	X	X	O	X	B	V
$S_{E_3}$	R	X	X	X	X	B	V
$S_{E_4}$	X	X	R	X	O	X	V
$S_R$	R	R	R	R	R	R	V
$S_B$	B	B	B	B	B	B	V
$S_O$	O	O	O	O	O	O	V
$S$	*	*	*	*	*	*	

$$V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$$

$$E_1 = \{1, 2, 3\} \quad E_2 = \{4, 6\} \quad E_3 = \{1, 6\} \quad E_4 = \{3, 5\}$$

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
$S_{E_1}$	R	B	R	X	X	X	V
$S_{E_2}$	X	X	X	O	X	B	V
$S_{E_3}$	R	X	X	X	X	B	V
$S_{E_4}$	X	X	R	X	O	X	V
$S_R$	R	R	R	R	R	R	V
$S_B$	B	B	B	B	B	B	V
$S_O$	O	O	O	O	O	O	V
$S$	*	*	*	*	*	*	
$S'$	R	*	*	*	O	B	

For binary alphabet:

$S_{E_1}$	1	1	1	0	0	0	1			$ V  - k$
$S_{E_2}$	0	0	1	0	1	0				$ V  - k$
$S_{E_3}$	1	0	0	1	0	0				$ V  - k$
$S_{E_4}$	0	1	0	0	0	1				$ V  - k$
$S_{\{R,B,O\}}$	1	1	1	1	1	1				$ V $
$S_{\{B,O\}}$	0	0	1	1	1	1				$ V  - 1$
$S_{\{R,O\}}$	1	1	0	0	1	1				$ V  - 1$
$S_{\{R,B\}}$	1	1	1	1	0	0				$ V  - 1$
$S_{\{R\}}$	1	1	0	0	0	0				$ V  - 2$
$S_{\{B\}}$	0	0	1	1	0	0				$ V  - 2$
$S_{\{O\}}$	0	0	0	0	1	1			1	$ V  - 2$
$S$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	...	...	0
$S'$	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	...	...	0

## LS-Closest String:

- NP-hard
- W[2]-hard for radius  $k$  of the Hamming neighborhood even in case  $|\Sigma| = 2$
- no  $n^{o(k)}$ -time algorithm  
(assuming the Exponential Time Hypothesis)
- solvable in  $d^k \cdot \text{poly}(n, m)$  time

## Further results:

W[1]-hardness for radius  $k$  of the Hamming neighborhood for local search variants of

- **Longest Common Subsequence**
- **Shortest Common Supersequence**
- **Shortest Common Superstring**

## LS-Longest Common Subsequence

**Input:** Strings  $T_1, \dots, T_m$  over alphabet  $\Sigma$ , a string  $S$  that is a subsequence of each  $T_i$ , and integer  $k$ .

**Question:** Is there a letter  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and a string  $\tilde{S}$  of length  $|S|$  such that  $\tilde{S}\sigma$  is a subsequence of each string in  $\tau$  and  $d_H(\tilde{S}, S) \leq k$ ?

$S_1 := \text{BCBAAACBA}$

$S_2 := \text{BCCACBAC}$

$S_3 := \text{CABBAC}$

## LS-Longest Common Subsequence

**Input:** Strings  $T_1, \dots, T_m$  over alphabet  $\Sigma$ , a string  $S$  that is a subsequence of each  $T_i$ , and integer  $k$ .

**Question:** Is there a letter  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and a string  $\tilde{S}$  of length  $|S|$  such that  $\tilde{S}\sigma$  is a subsequence of each string in  $\tau$  and  $d_H(\tilde{S}, S) \leq k$ ?

$S_1 := \text{BCBAAACBA}$

$S_2 := \text{BCCACBAC}$

$S_3 := \text{CABBAC}$

---

$S := \text{ABA}, k = 1$

## LS-Longest Common Subsequence

**Input:** Strings  $T_1, \dots, T_m$  over alphabet  $\Sigma$ , a string  $S$  that is a subsequence of each  $T_i$ , and integer  $k$ .

**Question:** Is there a letter  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and a string  $\tilde{S}$  of length  $|S|$  such that  $\tilde{S}\sigma$  is a subsequence of each string in  $\tau$  and  $d_H(\tilde{S}, S) \leq k$ ?

$$S_1 := \text{BCBAAACBA}$$

$$S_2 := \text{BCCACBAC}$$

$$S_3 := \text{CABBAC}$$

---

$$S := \text{ABA}, k = 1$$

$$\tilde{S}\sigma := \text{BBAC}$$

## LS-Longest Common Subsequence

**Input:** Strings  $T_1, \dots, T_m$  over alphabet  $\Sigma$ , a string  $S$  that is a subsequence of each  $T_i$ , and integer  $k$ .

**Question:** Is there a letter  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and a string  $\tilde{S}$  of length  $|S|$  such that  $\tilde{S}\sigma$  is a subsequence of each string in  $\tau$  and  $d_H(\tilde{S}, S) \leq k$ ?

$$S_1 := \text{BCBAAACBA}$$

$$S_2 := \text{BCCACBAC}$$

$$S_3 := \text{CABBAC}$$

---

$$S := \text{ABA}, k = 1$$

$$\tilde{S}\sigma := \text{BBAC}$$

**Theorem:** LS-Longest Common Subsequence with  $|\Sigma| = O(1)$  is  $W[1]$ -hard for the radius  $k$  of the Hamming neighborhood.



**So far:** only negative results

**Possible directions:**

- other problems: **Multiple Sequence Alignment**, ...
- other neighborhood types: “shift”-based distances, insertions/deletions at arbitrary solution positions