Travis Gagie Juha Kärkkäinen

CPM 2011

Travis Gagie Juha Kärkkäinen

CPM 2011

Theorem

Given a string s[1..n], we can build a data structure that takes $nH_0(s) + O(n) + o(nH_0(s))$ bits such that later, given a substring's endpoints i and j, in $O(\log \ell)$ time we can count how many distinct characters it contains, where $\ell = j - i + 1$.

source	space	time
BKM&T	$\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$	$\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
Muthu + WT	$n\log n + o(n\log n)$	$\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
GN&P	$n\log\sigma + \mathcal{O}(n\log\log n)$	$\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
this paper	$nH_0(s) + \mathcal{O}(n) + o(nH_0(s))$	$\mathcal{O}(\log \ell)$

[c, o, u, n, t, i, n, g, c, o, l, o, u, r, s, i, n, c, o, m, p, r, e, s, s, e, d, s, t, r, i, n, g, s]

[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 4, 0, 1, 2, 0, 10, 3, 0, 0, 6, 7, 9, 12, 0, 0, 14, 0, 15, 24, 23, 0, 25, 5, 22, 16, 17, 28]

[c, o, u, n, t, i, n, g, c, o, l, o, u, r, s, i, n, c, o, m, p, r, e, s, s, e, d, s, t, r, i, n, g, s]

[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 4, 0, 1, 2, 0, 10, 3, 0, 0, 6, 7, 9, 12, 0, 0, 14, 0, 15, 24, 23, 0, 25, 5, 22, 16, 17, 28]

[c, o, u, n, t, i, n, g, c, o, l, o, u, r, s, i, n, c, o, m, p, r, e, s, s, e, d, s, t, r, i, n, g, s]

[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 4, 0, 1, 2, 0, 10, 3, 0, 0, 6, 7, 9, 12, 0, 0, 14, 0, 15, 24, 23, 0, 25, 5, 22, 16, 17, 28]

source	space	time
BKM&T	$\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$	$\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
Muthu + WT	$n\log n + o(n\log n)$	$\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
GN&P	$n\log\sigma + \mathcal{O}(n\log\log n)$	$\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
this paper	$nH_0(s) + \mathcal{O}(n) + o(nH_0(s))$	$\mathcal{O}(\log \ell)$

source	space	time
BKM&T	$\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$	$\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
Muthu + WT	$n\log n + o(n\log n)$	$\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
GN&P	$n\log\sigma+\mathcal{O}(n\log\log n)$	$\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
this paper	$nH_0(s) + \mathcal{O}(n) + o(nH_0(s))$	$\mathcal{O}(\log \ell)$





Components:

- multiary wavelet tree assigning entries to blocks
- wavelet tree for each block (with a shared bitvector for each block size and depth)

Observations:

- if we use more block sizes, the C array becomes more like recency coding and compression is better (but queries take more time)
- if we use polylog(n) block sizes, then we can count the entries much bigger than ℓ in O(1) time using the multiary wavelet tree

Calculation:

if we use block sizes

$$b_{k} = \begin{cases} 2 & k = 1 \\ 2^{\max(\prod_{h=1}^{k-1}(1+1/\alpha(b_{h})),k))} & k > 1 \end{cases}$$

then we use a total of $nH_0(s) + O(n) + o(nH_0(s))$ bits and $O(\alpha(\ell) \log \ell \log \log(\ell + 1))$ query time

Observations:

- ▶ if a block B smaller than ℓ contains the beginning i of the interval, then it does not contain the end j
- ▶ we can count the entries C[q] = p in B with $p < i \le q$ by counting
 - ► all the entries in B (in O(1) time with the multiary wavelet tree)
 - ▶ all the entries in B with q < i (in O(1) time with the multiary wavelet tree)</p>
 - all the entries in B with $p \ge i$

Calculation:

if we store pointers to the wavelet-tree nodes at height k, then we use O(n) more bits and can count all the entries in B with p ≥ i in O(α(ℓ)(log log(ℓ + 1))²) ⊆ o(log ℓ) time

source	space	time
BKM&T	$\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$	$\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
Muthu + WT	$n\log n + o(n\log n)$	$\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
GN&P	$n\log\sigma+\mathcal{O}(n\log\log n)$	$\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
this paper	$nH_0(s) + \mathcal{O}(n) + o(nH_0(s))$	$\mathcal{O}(\log \ell)$