# Approximate All–Pairs Suffix/Prefix Overlaps

#### Niko Välimäki



Department of Computer Science University of Helsinki nvalimak@cs.helsinki.fi

Join work with Susana Ladra and Veli Mäkinen.

Experimental Results



### Introduction Background

#### Approximate Overlaps

Algorithm for k-errors Algorithm for  $\epsilon$ -errors

**Experimental Results** 

## Suffix/Prefix Alignment Problem

Input: A set of *r* strings of total length *n*. Threshold *t*. Output: For each string-pair, suffix/prefix matches

of length  $\geq t$ .

A suffix/prefix match (overlap):

VÄLIMÄKI |||| MÄKINEN

Motivation

Approximating the shortest common superstring.

## Suffix/Prefix Alignment Problem

Input: A set of *r* strings of total length *n*. Threshold *t*. Output: For each string-pair, suffix/prefix matches

of length  $\geq t$ .

A suffix/prefix match (overlap):

VÄLIMÄKI |||| MÄKINEN

**Motivation** 

Approximating the shortest common superstring.

### **Exact Overlaps**

Longest overlaps in optimal time [Gusfield & Landau & Schieber, 1992]

• O(n + output) time, O(n) words.

#### Practical solution [Ohlebusch & Gog, 2010]

• O(n + output) time, 8n bytes.

Finding irreducible overlaps [Simpson & Durpin, 2010]

• O(n + output) time,  $2nH_k + o(n) + r\log r$  bits.

Biological sequences have sequencing errors, SNPs...

First phase of *overlap-layout-consensus* assemby:

- ARACHNE [Batzoglou et al. 2002],
- Atlas [Havlak et al. 2004],
- Celera [Myers et al. 2000],
- Phrap [Green, 1994],
- UMD Overlapper [Roberts et al. 2004].

Their solution for approximate overlaps:

- Pick a *seed*, use exact matching and extend candidates,
- q-gram filters, expected  $O(n^2/M)$  time [Myers, 2005] for a time–space tradeoff parameter *M*.

Biological sequences have sequencing errors, SNPs...

First phase of *overlap-layout-consensus* assemby:

- ARACHNE [Batzoglou et al. 2002],
- Atlas [Havlak et al. 2004],
- Celera [Myers et al. 2000],
- Phrap [Green, 1994],
- UMD Overlapper [Roberts et al. 2004].

Their solution for approximate overlaps:

- Pick a *seed*, use exact matching and extend candidates,
- q-gram filters, expected  $O(n^2/M)$  time [Myers, 2005] for a time-space tradeoff parameter *M*.

We propose algorithms for

*k*-errors: suffix/prefix edit distance  $\leq k$ ,

 $\epsilon$ -errors: suffix/prefix edit distance  $\leq \lceil \epsilon \ell \rceil$ , where  $\ell$  is the overlap length.

#### Workflow

- 1. preprocess  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r$  to build an *index*,
- 2. search each  $T_i$  separately.

Both steps can be parallelized.

We propose algorithms for

*k*-errors: suffix/prefix edit distance  $\leq k$ ,

 $\epsilon$ -errors: suffix/prefix edit distance  $\leq \lceil \epsilon \ell \rceil$ , where  $\ell$  is the overlap length.

#### Workflow

- 1. preprocess  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r$  to build an *index*,
- 2. search each  $T_i$  separately.

Both steps can be parallelized.

# Virtues of the FM-Index

### FM-index [Ferragina & Manzini, 2000] requires

 $nH_k(T) + o(n\log\sigma)$  bits

and supports backward searching:

- Exact matching in *O*(*m*) time [Ferragina et al. 2007],
- *k*-errors matching in  $O(\sigma^k m^{k+1})$  time [Lam et al. 2008].

#### Where

- $H_k(T)$  is the k-th order entropy of T,
- *m* is pattern length,
- $\sigma = polylog(n)$  is alphabet size,
- locating occurrences takes extra time.

### Overlaps with *k*-errors

Build the FM-index for:

 $T_1 \$_1 T_2 \$_2 \cdots T_r \$_r$ 

and store permutation of  $_{i}$ 's in  $T^{bwt}$  in  $r \log r$  bits.

• Prefix matches of *P* by searching P, in O(m) time.

Prefix matches for all suffixes of  $T_i$  in  $O(|T_i|)$  time

- 1. Iterate through  $T_i$  using backward search,
- 2. for each suffix, check if the SA interval can be extended with \$.

Overlaps with *k*-errors by plugging in [Lam et al. 2008].

### Overlaps with *k*-errors

Build the FM-index for:

 $T_1 \$_1 T_2 \$_2 \cdots T_r \$_r$ 

and store permutation of  $_{i}$ 's in  $T^{bwt}$  in  $r \log r$  bits.

• Prefix matches of *P* by searching P, in O(m) time.

Prefix matches for all suffixes of  $T_i$  in  $O(|T_i|)$  time

- 1. Iterate through  $T_i$  using backward search,
- 2. for each suffix, check if the SA interval can be extended with \$.

Overlaps with *k*-errors by plugging in [Lam et al. 2008].

Simple pattern split strategy:

1. Split  $T_i$  into pieces of length

 $p = \min_{\ell=t}^{|T^i|} \left\lceil \frac{\ell}{\lceil \epsilon \ell \rceil + 1} \right\rceil$ 

Now at least one piece will match exactly.

- 2. Search each piece to find *candidate* overlaps,
- 3. verify candidate overlaps.



Simple pattern split strategy:

1. Split  $T_i$  into pieces of length

 $p = \min_{\ell=t}^{|T^i|} \left\lceil \frac{\ell}{\lceil \epsilon \ell \rceil + 1} \right\rceil$ 

Now at least one piece will match exactly.

- 2. Search each piece to find *candidate* overlaps,
- 3. verify candidate overlaps.



Т

### Suffix filters [Kärkkäinen & Na, 2007]:

- 1. Split  $T_i$  into pieces of length p,
- match with *k*-errors, and increase *k* at each boundary,
- output candidate overlap only if search can be extended with \$.

5	4	3	2	1	. (	)
4	3 .	2	1	0	_	
3	2	1	0		_	
2	1	0				
1,	0					
0						

- Stronger filter criterion than pattern split [K & N, 2007],
- extending with \$ reduces the candidates even more.
- additional work needed to find all overlap lengths.

 $T_{i}$ 

### Suffix filters [Kärkkäinen & Na, 2007]:

- 1. Split  $T_i$  into pieces of length p,
- match with *k*-errors, and increase *k* at each boundary,
- output candidate overlap only if search can be extended with \$.

	1
5 4 3	2,1,0
4 3 2	1 0
3 2 1	0
2 1 0	
1,0,	
0	

- Stronger filter criterion than pattern split [K & N, 2007],
- extending with \$ reduces the candidates even more.
- additional work needed to find all overlap lengths.

# Melitaea Cinxia

*De novo* assembly of the *Glanville fritillary butterfly* genome:

- Metapopulation Research Group, University of Helsinki,
- Study of local populations 1993-,
- 4,000 habitats on 50 x 70 km area in the Åland Islands,
- 8 million 454 reads, average length around 350bp.



### **Results on 454 Reads**

For a set of one million 454 reads:

Method	CPU time (h)	Max. $\ell$	Avg. ℓ
2-mismatches	1.4	506	33.9
4-mismatches	76.9	506	27.4
2.5%-mismatches	8.0	506	74.8
5%-mismatches	14.7	524	76.7
2.5%-errors	20.0	561	116.1
5%-errors	49.7	1010	121.4

• t = 20 for k-mismatch, t = 40 for  $\epsilon$ -errors.

• 454 pyrosequencing data contains mainly indel-errors.

### Frequency of Overlap Lengths



## When Number of Sequences Grows...



## **Future Plans**

Adapt ideas from approximate pattern matching:

- bidirectional search [Lam et al. 2009], [Russo et al. 2009],
- $O(m + output + (\log n)^{k(k+1)} \log \log n)$  time, O(n) space [Chan et al. 2006].

Comparison against

- q-gram filters [Myers, 2005],
- de Bruijn graph based assemblers.

Open problem: longest approximate overlaps.

Kiitos! Thank you!