

Special Anniversary Edition

Multiple alignment of biological networks: a flexible approach

Yves-Pol Denielou, Fréderic Boyer, Marie-France Sagot, Alain Viari Bamboo team, Inria Rhône-Alpes

Motivation



- Local or Global
- Pairwise or Multiple



- Local or Global
- Pairwise or Multiple
- Definition of a correspondence relation S between vertices of the different networks



- Local or Global
- Pairwise or Multiple
- Definition of a correspondence relation S between vertices of the different networks

Extract conserved subnetworks



- Local or Global
- Pairwise or Multiple
- Definition of a correspondence relation S between vertices of the different networks
- Extract conserved subnetworks
- A merged representation : the Network Alignment Graph (NAG) Sharan et al 2006



Network Alignment Graph

Given :

- n networks Gi = (Vi, Ei) i = 1..n
- a relation S between the vertices of V = Ui Vi



Network Alignment Graph

Given :

- n networks Gi = (Vi, Ei) i = 1..n
- a relation S between the vertices of V = Ui Vi





Network Alignment Graph

Given :

- n networks Gi = (Vi, Ei) i = 1..n
- a relation S between the vertices of V = Ui Vi





Connect these spines with edges from the sets Ei



3 main choices

1- How to select n-tuples using S?





3 main choices

1- How to select n-tuples using S?

2- Which primary edges to keep ?





3 main choices

1- How to select n-tuples using S?

2- Which primary edges to keep ?

3- Which topological condition on the subnetworks ?





State of the art

Method	n-tuples construction	edges construction	topology
PathBLAST	pairs $(n=2)$	conserved	paths
NetworkBLAST	$CC \ (n \le 3)$	conserved	clusters / paths
NetworkBLAST-M	paths	all edges	dense clusters
			on each network
GRAEMLIN 1.0	non-overlap- -ping CC	conserved	user-defined
CAPPI	non-overlap-	all edges $>$	CC
	-ping CC	${\rm threshold}$	
HopeMap	pairs	conserved	CC
MAWISH	pairs	conserved	\max -weight subgraph
PHUNKEE	pairs	all edges	max shared-edges ratio
C3PART	cliques or stars	all edges	common CC





1: all S-related pairs



- 1: all S-related pairs
- 2: keep all primary edges (multigraph)



- 1: all S-related pairs
- 2: keep all primary edges (multigraph)



- 1: all S-related pairs
- 2: keep all primary edges (multigraph)
- 3: connected in each network

Defining Connectons

Connectons: maximal sets of vertices in the NAG connected on each network/color



Defining Connectons

Connectons: maximal sets of vertices in the NAG connected on each network/color



Defining Connectons

Connectons: maximal sets of vertices in the NAG connected on each network/color

Connectons = Partition of the NAG vertices



Extension to n networks



Algorithm

Computing the connectons is doable, several partioning algorithms :

- Boyer et al 2005 : O((N+M)*N) recursive intersection of CCs
- Gai et al 2003 : O((N+M*logN)*logN) Hopcroft-like partitioning approach

Algorithm

Computing the connectons is doable, several partioning algorithms :

- Boyer et al 2005 : O((N+M)*N) recursive intersection of CCs
- Gai et al 2003 : O((N+M*logN)*logN) Hopcroft-like partitioning approach

But: $N \sim |network|^n$ in general (because of S)

Algorithm

Computing the connectons is doable, several partioning algorithms :

- Boyer et al 2005 : O((N+M)*N) recursive intersection of CCs
- Gai et al 2003 : O((N+M*logN)*logN) Hopcroft-like partitioning approach
- **But** : $N \sim |network|^n$ in general (because of S)
 - > Avoid the explicit construction of the NAG
 - > On the fly partitionning













Results

Benchmark of 10 microbial PPI networks from Srinivasan et al. 2006

For a 0.5 threshold on the 10 networks :

- N ~ 23000 proteins
- E ~ 175000 interactions

Correspondence relation : Sequence similarity with BlastP Threshold : 10⁻¹⁰ Nb Hits /p : 5 ~ 50000 edges

Selecting n-tuples : cliques / cc Selecting edges : all edges Topology : connectons



Comparison to Network Blast-M

1 n-tuples : paths or tree-guided-paths
2 edges : all primary edges are kept
3 topology condition : dense in each network (*Sharan et al 2004*)

Heuristic algorithm

General idea:

- build a set of n-tuple seeds
- extend them greedily in order to maximise a sum of density scores, one per PPI network

Comparison to NetworkBlast-M -1- execution time



Comparison to NetworkBlast-M -2- conserved subnetworks



Comparison to NetworkBlast-M -3- proteins involved



Perspectives

• Computing S correspondence on the fly



Recovering connectons with missing vertices

introducing k-tuples and quorum (q < k < n)

